IRPA12 Refresher Course RC-20

Radiation protection in industrial applications of radioactive sources: **Prevention of Accidents in Gammagraphy** 

> John Croft Consultant Former Head of Emergency Response Health Protection Agency UK

## Introduction: Why this subject?

Prone to accidents and leaving orphan sources

 Dominant usage in UNSCEAR list of accidents
 Many instances of orphan sources
 Radiation injuries and fatalities

- Terminology
  - Gammagraphy = gamma ( $\gamma$ )radiography
  - Industrial radiography = X- and  $\gamma$ -radiography

#### River Tyne, 1972



## Contents

- Types of radiography and equipment
- Common causes of accidents
- Examples of serious accidents
- Mechanisms to learn lessons
- Roles of the different "Players"
- Emergency response
- Guidance material and training

# Types of radiography (1)

#### **Enclosure radiography**

- Purpose built (?) shielded enclosure
  - "temporary" nature of some
  - Non-standard use
- Installed safety systems: warning lights and interlocks (?)
- Should be inherently safer: maintenance an issue
- Problems with open topped enclosures

# Types of radiography (2)

#### Mobile radiography

- Within temporary barriers
- Portable warning and safety systems
- Adverse working conditions
- Often away from supervision
- Access control can be difficult
  - Multi-level
  - Need to liaise with site management and contractors
- Heavy reliance on procedures

Inherently more Hazardous

# **X-Radiography**

- Typically 100 to 300 kV and 3 to 15 mA
  - Outputs 30 to 300 mGy.min<sup>-1</sup> at 1 m
  - At 10 cm: 3 to 30 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup>
  - Collimation
- Need for automatic fail-safe safety and warning systems
- Integral part of design of enclosures
  - Emergency stop buttons/ pull cords
  - Search and lock up

**Typical accidents from:** 

- poor design and maintenance of safety systems
- Lack of awareness and poor procedures

#### Linear accelerators

- Typically up to 8 MeV
- Outputs ~ 4 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup> at 1 m
- Specialist uses
- High quality (multiple redundancy) safety systems
- Higher degree of knowledge and training for operators

# Typical radiography sources

Radionuclide	Energy (MeV)	Source output at 1m (mGyh <sup>-1</sup> per 37 GBq)	Half Life	Typical use for steel of thickness (mm)
Cobalt-60	1.17 and 1.33	13.0	5.3 y	50–120
Ir-192	0.2–1.4	4.8	74 d	10–70
Se-75	0.12–0.97	2.03	120 d	4–28
Yb-169	0.008–0.31	1.25	32 d	2.5–15

## Shutter type container



# Radiation injury from Shutter type container



## "Torch" type container



High cumulative doses due to proximity

Misuse leading to radiation burns to the fingers

## Projection type / remote exposure containers



## Projection type / remote exposure containers



# Source "pigtail"







#### **Commonest direct cause of accidents**

### FAILURE TO ADEQUATELY MONITOR



# **Typical radiation injuries**







## **Root Causes**

- Lack of, or ineffective
  - Regulatory body
  - Regulations
  - Regulatory enforcement
  - Radiation protection services
  - Training of workers and management
  - Commitment by management to safety
  - RP programme in the organisation
  - Co-operation between employers

## Morocco 1984

• 1.1 TBq Ir-192 industrial radiography source

- Disconnected from drive cable and fell out
- Picked up and taken home
- Out of control March June
- 8 died
- Initially diagnosed as poisoning

# Cairo, Egypt 2000

1.85 TBq Ir-192 industrial radiography source

Similar causes and scenario to Morocco accident

- Picked up by farmer
- Farmer and son died

# Yanango, Peru 1999

- 1.37 TBq Ir-192 : remote exposure container: source detached
- Picked up by welder and taken home
- Pain in right thigh: sought medical assistance
- Meanwhile wife sat on source in trousers
- Loss of source identified and its recovery within 24 hours
- Heroic medical treatment but lost one leg





### Radiography accident in Cochabamba, Bolivia, 2002

- 670 GBq Iridium-192
   source in remote exposure
   container
- Lone working in trench
- Failed to monitor after exposure
- Could not remove drive cable



## **Cochabamba: transport to La Paz**









#### Cochabamba accident

- 55 passengers exposed for 8 h journey
- Source collected and recovered next day
- Delay in informing authorities
- Investigations by authorities and IAEA

#### **Cochabamba: dose reconstruction**



Passenger doses from reconstruction: 0.19 Gy

Worker doses from Chromosome Aberration Analysis: ~ 0.2 Gy

## Learning the Lessons: Feedback mechanisms

#### • IAEA

- Accident investigation reports
- Safety series No. 7
- RADEV → Regulatory Authority Information System (RAIS)
- INES
- IRID, UK <u>www.irid.org.uk</u>
  - Fields to categorise and aid navigation
  - Descriptive field for use in training
- RELIR, France: <u>www.relir.cepn.asso.fr</u>
- European ALARA Network
  - Newsletters and website www.eu-alara.net
  - Workshops Rome, October 2001
    - NDT ALARA Network

### **Guidance Documents**

#### • IAEA

- Safety Series 13 (1999): new one in preparation

- National Authorities
  - NRC: Industrial Radiography Toolkit
  - <u>www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/industrial-uses/rad-</u> <u>toolkit.html</u>

– HSE: Work with Ionising Radiations and ACOP www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/ionising/publications.htm

# **Roles: Regulators**

**Effective Regulatory Infrastructure** 

- Regulations adapted to national needs
- Appropriately resourced regulatory body (s)
- Appropriately trained regulators
- Enforcement programme
- Support of critical mass of **RP** infrastructure

Sets tone for compliance and safety culture

# **Qualified Experts**

- Meets national qualification or certification criteria
- Often consultants not employee
- Management retain responsibility for compliance
- Major part of RP infrastructure
  - Range of clients, interface with regulators etc

Well placed to have positive influence on RP practices



- Key responsibility
- Safety culture
  - Procedures, local rules, equipment, RP programmes
  - Risk assessments
  - Supervision, maintenance, reviews, investigations
- Training
- Co-operation with clients and others

Well managed operations are quickly apparent to regulators and crucial to RP compliance

# Radiographers

- Appropriately trained
- Follow procedures
  - MONITOR AFTER EACH EXPOSURE
  - Personal alarm monitors: useful but an adjunct
- Ability to deal with problems on site
  - **Recognition of problems**
  - Pressure from clients and others
  - **–** Emergency situations
- Report back issues to management

#### Responsibility to work safely

## Clients

- Responsibility for those working on their sites
- Financial "muscle" and thus influence
- Co-ordination and co-operation of workforces

   Permit to work schemes
  - Temporary source storage arrangements
- QEs can help clients "police" their sites
- Should feature in regulatory programme
   Clients can be very influential on RP practices: Use that influence

# **Emergency Preparedness**

- Risk assessments
- Development of emergency plans
- Training to recognise an emergency and implement response
- Appropriate equipment
- Exercises and periodic reviews of plans
- Reporting and identification of lessons



## TRAINING, INCLUDING REFRESHER TRAINING, UNDERPINS SAFETY IN INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRPHY AND THE PREVENTION OF ACCIDENTS

# IAEA Training Materials for Industrial Radiography

- Radiation Protection in Industrial Radiography
  - RPO, operators and mangers
  - Lecture plan, lecture notes, module overview, practical exercises, PP presentations, other info
  - -1 to 2 weeks
- Training for Regulators on Authorisation and Inspection.....
  - Regulatory inspectors
  - 3 Parts
    - I: Organisation and implementation of National regulatory program for control of radioactive sources: 1 week
    - II: Authorisation and Inspection....: 1 week
    - III: On the job training : 6 to 8 weeks

### Conclusion

- Industrial radiography still provides significant potential for accidents
- Accidents continue to happen
- Means to prevent accidents are well documented

Needs appropriate regulatory infrastructure And

**Commitment of those involved**